					LOT 01 (01-71) - SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS - (June 2020 submission)
Sr.	EE Code	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Your overall comments / suggestions
1	EEMPCAT04	188436236	Renu Pandey	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved with modification	Modify-1.intro/lit rev,not arranged properly, avoid copy paste, 2. Hypothesis :Alt or Null? not proper.. (Null recommended), 3. Objectives (std X) mismatch (with sample (Std IX and XI), 4. Sample specify no of male vs female, 5. Need to consider/Control: Intelligence factor..Specify how it is controlled.
2	EEMPCAT04	176715964	Sarika Pandit	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved	1. Give proper intro/lit rev, 2. req in present tense, 3. tools not measuring parameters and test details not given (serious shortcoming)*)
3	EEMPCAT04	185143670	Shivani Vaze	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	1. Objectives not clear, 2. Hypo required in present tense, 3. Tools not given (full), 4. Use 'perceived parental pressure' wording
4	EEMPCAT04	170177237	Radha Kale	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved with modification	1. Consider socio-eco factors, psy illness in oneself or family in sample selection
5	EEMPCAT04	185124649	Anisha Shetty	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	1. Hypo not framed properly (no 3 to 7), 2. Change index scale questionnaire, use Likert type..interval scale like questionnaire (imp)
6	EEMPCAT04	192025715	Andrey Correa	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	1. Intro/Lit rev not proper, 2. Avoid copy paste,3. Objectives not clear, 4. Hyp 1 not framed properly, 5. ref not proper, 6. In sample, employed vs not employed not clear/specified, 7. IV DV not specified
7	EEMPCAT04	185243054	Surekha Nikam	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved with modification	1. Hypo not in present tense, 2. Check scoring criteria BAI, 3. Attach questionnaires
8	EEMPCAT04	181771403	Raksha Agarwaql	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	1. Res des not specified, 2. Personality traits/total score? not specified for Hypothesis testing
9	EEMPCAT04	192023830	Mairaj D Patel	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	Hypo not framed properly
10	EEMPCAT04	185129401	Sweta Nair	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	1. govt school-not specified in title, 2. every page not signed and no enroll no., 3. Hypothesis not given, 4. stat techn not specified with reason
11	EEMPCAT04	176709502	Amit Chincholkar	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	1. Objectives not framed properly, 2. Hyp 4 not framed properly, 3. sample inadequate as per design
12	EEMPCAT04	185126904	Rina Primlani	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved with modification	1. Hypo not framed accurately and specifically, 2. Need explanation for 2X2 ANOVA for Hyp 2 and 3.
13	EEMPCAT04	192024326	Anupama Verma	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	1. Hypo not framed properly, 2. Design not specified, 3. Stat technique and hypo not matching and clear
14	EEMPCAT04	176713193	Zubin Dehmeri	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	1. population/universe questionable, 2. sampling technique inadequate, 3. only one continuous variable
15	EEMPCAT04	176713193	Vijaya Jegathesan	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved with modification	1. Hypo not framed properly, 2. Need info about rating scales in questionnaire
16	EEMPCAT04	176729630	Kavita Mishra	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved	1. Objective 2 not framed properly, 2. Hypo not framed properly, 3. Design section not clear/given, 4. Need to consider control variables, 5. IV not operationalized , not given details
17	EEMPCAT04	170176686	Kemalsen Sawant	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	1. Hypo not framed properly, 2. Headings not proper
18	EEMPCAT04	185144665	Pravinkumar Upadhay	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	1. Title incorrect, 2. Objectives not framed properly, 3. IV DV not specified, 4. Only one continuous variable
19	EEMPCAT04	192018924	Pankaj Singh	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	1. Hypo not clear, 2. Min 2 continuous variables expected

Sr.	EE Code	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Your overall comments / suggestions
	**LOT 01 (01-71) - SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS - (June 2020 submission)**					
20	EEMPCAK03	170175258	MANJIRI AVINIT KAREKAR	MAPC-MPCE26	**Not Approved**	In introduction nothing is mentioned about career decision making. In methodology variables are not mentioned. Operational definitions are not correct. No inclusion/exclusion criteria is mentioned
21	EEMPCAK03	176740739	MS. VARSHA KUMARI	MAPC-MPCE26	**Approved**	well written
22	EEMPCAK03	168652095	MONALISA SINGH	MAPC-MPCE26	**Not Approved**	Data collection procedure and Data analysis method not mentioned
23	EEMPCAK03	185143480	Ayaniyl. Navami. Shashidharan	MAPC-MPCE26	**Not Approved**	No coordination between objectives and hypotheses, data collection procedure not mentioned, data analysis method incomplete
24	EEMPCAK03	192012955	PREENA PAREKH	MAPC-MPCE26	**Approved**	well written
25	EEMPCAK03	173805390	Mrs. Hetal Shah	MAPC-MPCE26	**Approved with modification**	In title location is not mentioned as a variable but it is considered as one of the IV in methodology. It should be mentioned in the title too.
26	EEMPCAK03	192017621	SUCHITA LAXMAN MANDLEKAR	MAPC-MPCE26	**Approved with modification**	Operational definitions are not written properly
27	EEMPCAK03	170385020	Bhardwaj Neha Ragunathan	MAPC-MPCE26	**Approved with modification**	The title should include teacher's stress and not occupational stress. Data analysis does not mention correlation method while some of the hypotheses are seeing the relationship between two variables.
28	EEMPCAK03	192017599	Shiksha Gupta	MAPC-MPCE26	**Approved with modification**	In tools - super women mind set questionnaire is not given, operational definitions are not as per the tools used.
29	EEMPCAK03	152454823	152454823	MAPC-MPCE26	**Approved with modification**	Regression analysis is mentioned as one of the objectives, but not mentioned in data analysis
30	EEMPCAK03	188442365	Vyomprakash V. Shrivastva	MAPC-MPCE26	**Not Approved**	Objectives,hypotheses and operational definitions not written properly.
31	EEMPCAK03	185143410	Soma Guha	MAPC-MPCE26	**Not Approved**	The title says teaching learning implications but it is not mentioned in objectives and hypothesis or even in introduction , lit. rev.
32	EEMPCAK03	173807061	Dr Kavita Ramakant Jadhav	MAPC-MPCE26	**Approved**	well written
33	EEMPCAK03	176737533	Noella Joseph Dias	MAPC-MPCE26	**Not Approved**	In objectives and hypotheses gender difference is a main component but it is not mentioned in the title. The title mentions implications for the development of adolescents but there is no mention of it in entire synopsis. how this implication will be shown
34	EEMPCAK03	188434074	DILSHAD BHADHA	MAPC-MPCE26	**Approved with modification**	None of the pages are signed variables and their operational definitions are not written.
35	EEMPCAK03	185124086	Vandanagouri Vijay Patankar	MAPC-MPCE26	**Approved**	you can use ANOVA too. Operational definition should mention the name of the scale that you are going to use.
36	EEMPCAK03	148128695	Dr. DIPALI ALOK MHATRE	MAPC-MPCE26	**Approved**	operational definition should mention the scale by which particular variable will be measured
37	EEMPCAK03	156599553	R Giridharan	MAPC-MPCE26	**Approved with modification**	In hypotheses government and private high schools and primary schools is taken as one variable, but there is no mention of govt. vs private in title. Methodology should begin with list of variables and their operational definitions.
38	EEMPCAK03	166845167	NIRAJ PANDEY	MAPC-MPCE26	**Approved**	well written

					LOT 01 (01-71) - SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS - (June 2020 submission)	
Sr.	EE Code	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Your overall comments / suggestions
39	EEMPCAK03	176728638	NAND KISHOR	MAPC-MPCE26	**Approved with modification**	1. Title mentions Attitude - Attitude towards _____? 2. Why hypotheses are having question marks. They are declarative statements and not questions. 3. In methodology - Dependent variable is written incompletely 4. - operational definitions are not written as per the scale used to measure variables 5. In Statistical Analysis - ANOVA also can be used
40	EEMPCAK03	173807061	Dr. Kavita Ramakant Jadhav	MAPC-MPCE26	**Approved**	well written
41	EEMPCNT01	176740556	Janhavi Milind Kharade	MAPC-MPCE36	**Not Approved**	1. Please change the word Mumbai in Title. You are covering entire population of Mumbai. 2. The citations and references do not match. Refer Introduction and literature review section. You have quoted various studies, but it is not mentioned in reference. 3. Please follow APA Style of citations and Referencing. 4. Your title and objectives do not match. 5. Title reflects Co relations and objectives and hypothesis are framed to find out differences between genders. 6. Operationally define 'Service Sector' 7. How do you match participants from following category and tend to collect sample from: education, consulting, staffing, management, product, servicing, manufacturing, health care workers, information technology.---Justify 8. What do you mean by sample form Mumbai region? 9.Age range of 20 to 50 is very wide. Justify 10. Have you obtained the permission from the author of Psychological test/tools to send it online/through google form? 11. Justify and explain use of different statistical technique. 12. Your project title, objectives, hypothesis and statistical technique do no match. 13. References are incomplete. Provide all references cited in the project 14. Please follow APA style of referencing. For your help visit this site and follow it guideline: https://apastyle.apa.org/ ALL THE BEST

LOT 01 (01-71) - SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS - (June 2020 submission)						
Sr.	**EE Code**	**Enrolment No.**	**Name of the Student**	**Programme-Course Code**	**Status of synopsis**	**Your overall comments / suggestions**
42	**EEMPCNT01**	176729457	Ramya Krishnakumar	MAPC-MPCE36	**Not Approved**	*** enrollment & signature is not on every page*** 1. Please change the word Mumbai in Title. Are you covering entire population of Mumbai? 2. The citations and references do not match. Refer Introduction and literature review section. You have quoted various studies, but it is not mentioned in reference. 3. Please follow APA Style of citations and Referencing. 4. Your title and objectives do not match. 5. Please specify scientific basis of dividing different age groups as young and old 6. What do you mean by Senior and junior level employees? (size of sample) 7. Occupational stress Index is very old instrument (1981). Justify relevance and use of out dated test in year 2020 8. References are incomplete. Provide all references cited in the project 9. Please follow APA style of referencing. For your help visit this site and follow it guideline: https://apastyle.apa.org/ ALL THE BEST
43	**EEMPCNT01**	185144633	CINDRELLA SANDEEP D'MELLO	MAPC-MPCE26	**Approved with modification**	1. Please change the word Mumbai in Title. You are covering entire population of Mumbai. 2. The citations and references do not match. Refer Introduction and literature review section. You have quoted various studies, but it is not mentioned in reference. LOT OF REFERENCES ARE MISSING 3. Please follow APA Style of citations and Referencing. 4. Justify and explain use of different statistical technique. 5. References are incomplete. Provide all references cited in the project 6. Please explain the reason of using Bibliography 7. Please follow APA style of referencing. For your help visit this site and follow it guideline: https://apastyle.apa.org/ ALL THE BEST
44	**EEMPCNT01**	158437515	Anish Vyavahare	MAPC-MPCE36	**Approved with modification**	1. The citations and references do not match. Refer Introduction and literature review section. You have quoted various studies, but it is not mentioned in reference. 2 Please follow APA Style of citations and Referencing. 3. What is the reliability of Psychological test/tools? if this is not standardized test to measure AchMot please use another test. 4. Sample size is inadequate. At least 30 sample size is required in each category. It is advisable to take 40 to 50 employees from each category. 5. References are incomplete. Provide all references cited in the project 6. Please follow APA style of referencing. For your help visit this site and follow it guideline: https://apastyle.apa.org/ ALL THE BEST

					LOT 01 (01-71) - SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS - (June 2020 submission)		
Sr.	EE Code	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Your overall comments / suggestions
45	**EEMPCNT01**	192016709	Amaey A. Parekh	MAPC-MPCE26	**Not Approved**	1. Please change the word the study of in Title. 2. The citations and references do not match. Refer Introduction and literature review section. You have quoted various studies, but it is not mentioned in reference. 3. Please follow APA Style of citations and Referencing. 4. Your title and objectives do not match. 5. Title reflects only mental health and anxiety and objectives and hypothesis are framed to find out differences between marital status and experience. 6. How do you match participants from different category and tend to collect sample from each category? 7. What is the reliability and validity of HAM -A tool? 11. Justify and explain use of different statistical technique. 12. Your project title, hypotheses and statistical technique do no match. 13. References are incomplete. Provide all references cited in the project 14. Please follow APA style of referencing. For your help visit this site and follow it guideline: https://apastyle.apa.org/ ALL THE BEST
46	**EEMPCNT01**	188432554	Harleen Kaur	MAPC-MPCE26	**Not Approved**	1. The citations and references do not match. Refer Introduction and literature review section. You have quoted various studies, but it is not mentioned in reference. Lot 2. Please follow APA Style of citations and Referencing. 3. Please explain, how stratified random sampling will be used? 4. In sampling only details about type of schools are given. What about sampling on the basis of Gender 5. Your title and objectives and hypotheses do not match. 6. What is the Age range of participants? 7. Justify and explain use of different statistical technique. 12. Your project title, objectives, hypothesis and statistical technique do no match. 13. References are incomplete. Provide all references cited in the project 14. Please follow APA style of referencing. For your help visit this site and follow it guideline: https://apastyle.apa.org/ ALL THE BEST

	LOT 01 (01-71) - SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS - (June 2020 submission)					
Sr.	EE Code	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Your overall comments / suggestions
47	**EEMPCNT01**	181797169	SUNITA SAMDANI	MAPC-MPCE26	**Not Approved**	1. Please change the word Mumbai in Title. Are you covering entire population of Mumbai. 2. What do you mean by levels of occupational stress. OC seems to be DV of the study. 3. The citations and references do not match. Refer Introduction and literature review section. You have quoted various studies, but it is not mentioned in reference. 4. Please follow APA Style of citations and Referencing. 5. sample size of 25 in each category is not sufficient for statistical calculations 6. OSI is very old instrument, try to use latest tool 7. What do you mean by sample form Mumbai region? 8. What is the age range of participants? 9. Have you obtained the permission from the author of Psychological test/tools 16 PF to copy all questions and included in this project. 16 PF is copyrighted test 10. Justify and explain use of different statistical technique. 11. References are incomplete. Provide all references cited in the project 12. Please follow APA style of referencing. For your help visit this site and follow it guideline: https://apastyle.apa.org/ ALL THE BEST

	LOT 01 (01-71) - SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS - (June 2020 submission)					
Sr.	EE Code	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Your overall comments / suggestions
48	EEMPCNT01	185132345	ARCHANA RAJENDRA BORA	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved	You have to peruse all comments and make appropriate changes in the project, before submitting once again. 1. Please change the word Mumbai in Title. Are covering entire population of Mumbai? 2. Title is not as per APA style. Title is not approved. Please re-work on it. 3. What is the source of diagram on page no 11? 4. How would you find out the status of relationship of women (are currently not married nor in a relationship with a man.P.18) 5. What is the age range of participants? What do you mean by above35 years? 6. Please check correctness of the IV and DV. (p.23) 7. Please check correctness of the research design. 8. How you would select sample randomly? Specify method of random sampling. 9. Please refer APA guideline of consent. It requires to take written consent from participants 10. The citations and references do not match. Refer Introduction and literature review section. You have quoted various studies, but it is not mentioned in reference. 11. Please follow APA Style of citations and Referencing. 12. What do you mean by Family Therapy. What is the context and usefulness of in our study? 13. What do you mean by sample form Mumbai region? 14. Justify and explain use of different statistical technique in your research 15. References are incomplete. Provide all references cited in the project 16. Please follow APA style of referencing. For your help visit this site and follow it guideline: https://apastyle.apa.org/ ALL THE BEST

LOT 01 (01-71) - SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS - (June 2020 submission)					
Sr.	EE Code	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Your overall comments / suggestions
49	EEMPCNT01	188436354	Leena Pascoal Rodrigues	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved	You have to peruse all comments and make appropriate changes in the project, before submitting once again. 1. Title is not as per APA style. Title is not approved. Please re-work on it. 2. What is the age range of participants? 3. Please check correctness of the IV and DV. 4. Please check correctness of the research design. 5. Please refer APA guideline of consent. It requires to take written consent from participants 6. The citations and references do not match. Refer Introduction and literature review section. You have quoted various studies, but it is not mentioned in reference. 7. Please follow APA Style of citations and Referencing. 8. Justify and explain use of different statistical technique in your research 9. Your project title, objectives, hypothesis and statistical technique do no match. 10. References are incomplete. Provide all references cited in the project 11. Please follow APA style of referencing. For your help visit this site and follow it guideline: https://apastyle.apa.org/ ALL THE BEST
50	EEMPCNT01	192012654	Sonali Samant	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved	You have to peruse all comments and make appropriate changes in the project, before submitting once again. 1. In the tile Family size is not quoted and your objective number two and hypothesis no 3 is related with Family size. 2. Please change the Title of the study appropriately. 3. How Family size is IV in the study? 4. What is the age range of participants? 5. Please check correctness of the IV and DV. 6. Please check correctness of the research design. 7. Please refer APA guideline of consent. It requires to take written consent from participants 8. The citations and references do not match. Refer Introduction and literature review section. You have quoted various studies, but it is not mentioned in reference. 9. Please follow APA Style of citations and Referencing. 10. What do you mean by sample form Mumbai region? 11. Justify and explain use of different statistical technique in your research 12. Your project title, objectives, hypothesis and statistical technique do no match. 13. References are incomplete. Provide all references cited in the project 14. Please follow APA style of referencing. For your help visit this site and follow it guideline: https://apastyle.apa.org/ ALL THE BEST

					LOT 01 (01-71) - SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS - (June 2020 submission)	
Sr.	EE Code	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Your overall comments / suggestions
51	EEMPCSV02	181789462	Aishwarya Menon	MAPC-MPCE16	**Approved with modification**	Research design in not descriptive - change Independent variables not identified correctly Family type is an IV , but not clear how it will be measured Title says correlational study, first four hypotheses only mention differences Statistical analyses to be used not clear The definition to be as per the scale used for burden9 not clear if it is) Lack of clarity of basic resrach concepts
52	EEMPCSV02	188434153	Nisarg Badani	MAPC-MPCE16	**Not Approved**	Title hints at studyig differences, hypotheses are correlational in nature Studies included in Review not relevant Study is not a descriptive design-change The variables say behaviour pattern, it is being measured using the Behaviour Deviance scale. Scale is not relevant or related to eating behaviours Appendix only has two scales - deviance and BIQ not EAT-26
53	EEMPCSV02	185122850	Jyoti Bhalchandra Raut	MAPC-MPCE16	**Approved**	Second and third hypotheses must say no differnces rather no relation Everything else seems fine
54	EEMPCSV02	192020526	Surekha Shetty	MAPC-MPCE16	**Approved with modification**	References not in APA style Scales to be used not attached Suggestion to study another variable along with the listed two pf perception of parenting styles and depressio n
55	EEMPCSV02	176729536	Puushpa Revadher Tiwari	MAPC-MPCE16	**Approved with modification**	Hypoheses need to ne reframed Rest is fine
56	EEMPCSV02	161422132	Sonam Rajbhar	MAPC-MPCE16	**Approved**	No hypothesis that reflect objective 3 References to be in APA format
57	EEMPCSV02	163000843	poonam Desai	MAPC-MPCE16	**Approved**	Interesting Scale used for Stigma
58	EEMPCSV02	185144927	Asiya Syed	MAPC-MPCE16	**Approved**	Components seem correct
59	EEMPCSV02	192018472	Kumbhar Sham Gajanan	MAPC-MPCE16	**Approved**	Title does not reflect memory that is being investigated as a variable The IV to be expressed in the same words- Title says, varible IV mentions progressive relaxation with sedative music Hypothesis two says stress reduction - please change
60	EEMPCSV02	171295193	Aasma Shaikh	MAPC-MPCE16	**Approved with modification**	Please study a related third variable

					LOT 01 (01-71) - SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS - (June 2020 submission)	
Sr.	EE Code	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Your overall comments / suggestions
61	**EEMPCSV02**	170389153	Pooja Pankaj Mehta	MAPC-MPCE16	**Not Approved**	The title gives the idea that the post Covid is your IV , but it doesn't feature in the list at all There are a whole host of IVs identified, all demograohic in nature and very broad in breadth None of the relationships between the IVs and DVs are refledted by way of hypotheses The title hints at a study that is looking at changes Post - COVID , but without a previous measure how do you know if the parenting styles and emotional intelligence quotients are the same or have changed ? All the IVs and the effects of them can be studied anyway , how does COVID feature in this or indeed what would be the implications of this study and to whom The only hypothesis stated is looking at parenting styles and scores of emotional intelligence , and coorelational studies do not have IV and DV If it is a correlational study it is not reflected in the title Study design says prospective but such a study is done over a period of time Please clarify what kind of study it is . the title, research method, objectives and hypotheses all have to be in agreement with each other . Narrow down the scope of the study and what the implications of such a study might be
62	**EEMPCSV02**	170389153	Pooja Pankaj Mehta	MAPC-MPCE16	**Not Approved**	The title gives the idea that the post Covid is your IV , but it doesn't feature in the list at all There are a whole host of IVs identified, all demograohic in nature and very broad in breadth None of the relationships between the IVs and DVs are refledted by way of hypotheses The title hints at a study that is looking at changes Post - COVID , but without a previous measure how do you know if the parenting styles and emotional intelligence quotients are the same or have changed ? All the IVs and the effects of them can be studied anyway , how does COVID feature in this or indeed what would be the implications of this study and to whom The only hypothesis stated is looking at parenting styles and scores of emotional intelligence , and coorelational studies do not have IV and DV If it is a correlational study it is not reflected in the title Study design says prospective but such a study is done over a period of time Please clarify what kind of study it is . the title, research method, objectives and hypotheses all have to be in agreement with each other . Narrow down the scope of the study and what the implications of such a study might be

				LOT 01 (01-71) - SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS - (June 2020 submission)	
Sr.	EE Code	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Your overall comments / suggestions
63	**EEMPCSV02**	173804976	Riddhi Shah	MAPC-MPCE16	**Approved with modification**	The title says impact of body image but the hypotheses are only correlational in nature There are no independent and dependent variables in a correlational study The operational definitions of the variables have to match the scales being used
64	**EEMPCSV02**	166840760	Saindhavi Venkataraman	MAPC-MPCE16	**Approved with modification**	No references attached- DO NOT move forward without getting your references in order No appendices which would have the form All other elements are correct
65	**EEMPCSV02**	185123733	Annie D'Silva	MAPC-MPCE16	**Approved with modification**	The first hypothesis of personality dimensions and occupational stress isn't clear The measurement of the personality dimensions will give us scores for each dimension , so if the intention is to establish a correlation for every dimension and stress then mention specifically
66	**EEMPCSV02**	158395869	Urmila Vilas Patil	MAPC-MPCE16	**Approved with modification**	Thr first few studies mentioned in the review of literature are about violent video games and how it might affect aggression, which isn't even one of the variables being studied Only add those studies that help with the variables linked t your particular study The inclusion criteria for the adolescents has to be stricter (number of hours of playing a violent video gae, pick any particluar set of games, how many years are they playing this from etc)since you aren't measuring addiction to these games in any standardized way The three variables being studied - anxiety , depression and anxiety will have similar correlations no matter what the sample Establishing these correlations with this specific sample (violent videogame playing) is serving no real purpose , either also have a control group and compare and contrast between them or pick a different samplle - violent video games feature heavily in your intro and ROL but seem to have no real role to play in your study
67	**EEMPCSV02**	171297158	Sreevidya Venkatraman	MAPC-MPCE16	**Approved with modification**	Your sample size of 60 even with a non parametric test is very small You have to have a large enough sample such that all your parenting styles will have some amount of representation You have also listed age and gender as independent variables but there are no corresponding hypotheses DO not use age as a variable, self esteem will go up and down as per age , that data is of no particular use References should not be numbered and should not be indented

				LOT 01 (01-71) - SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS - (June 2020 submission)		
Sr.	EE Code	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Your overall comments / suggestions
68	**EEMPCSV02**	171299373	Bhanu Manoj Pallikkadavath	MAPC-MPCE16	**Approved with modification**	Your title says its a correlational study, such a study does not have independent or dependent variables Review studies in the ROL and only include the ones that have relevance in your study , internet addiction in the context of anxiety is not relevant to your study , and if you think it is, make the relationship clear In the variables mentioned it does not mention Level of Iinternet addiction as measured by the IAT , its mentioned in the toold but there seems to be poor clarity about the variables The variables of hours played and gender are mentioned as IVs but no corresponding hypotheses are written Sampling technique not mentioned Tools and scoring mentioned, no information about the psychometric properties
69	**EEMPCSV02**	166848796	Smita Nagre	MAPC-MPCE16	**Approved with modification**	Your hypotheses and title are clearly correlational In stating the variables you have listed occupational stress as an IV, no indication anywhere that you are using this variable as an IV
70	**EEMPCSV02**	185123568	Avneet Gulati	MAPC-MPCE16	**Approved with modification**	Title and your problem statement DO NOT match Your title has to be reframed , the aim of your study isn't clear at all Your problem statement indicates a correlational study which does not have an IV or DV according to the variables you have listed , gender is an IV , meaning you are studying differences in mental health and body image as per gender ? You operational definition have to match what they say as per the scales being used Refer to the variable as mentioned by the scale- body image You do not know if there is any disturbance in their body image without checking for it first There is some formatting error with your references , please check
71	**EEMPCSV02**	166858163	Narendra Arjun Yadav	MAPC-MPCE16	**Not Approved**	The objective of the study it says , is to see whether self esteem and life satisfaction is affected in indivisuals with sexual dysfunction, of course they would be And as a researcher you mention you would learn about the different kind of dysfunction and diagnostic process , but there is no research element in this the title says its an exploratory research- the procesure only shows measurment of the variables of self esteem and life satisfaction All the studies included in the review of leiterature talk about this particular sample but explore factors like prevalence or association with social support and other variables The proposed study could be exploratory if there is collection of more primary data , through interviews etc The porposed stuudy as of now is too simple , either include other variables, add a qualitative aspect, a control group etc